

Complaints and Appeals Procedure (Exams)

2024/2025

This procedure is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Approved/reviewed by

Lauren Calver

Date of next review September 2025

Key staff involved in the complaints and appeals procedure

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Sian Thomas
Exams officer	Lauren Calver
Senior leader(s)	Amanda Lacey, Sheila Kennedy, Carli Willis

Purpose of the procedure

This policy confirms Ancora House Schools compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers our written complaints policy which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification and our internal appeals procedure.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or their parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via the HOC/ or exams officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via HOC/ Exams Officer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the availability of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body post-results services)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via HOC/ Exams officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate (or their parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification they are following, Ancora House school encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. All complaints should be directed to the head teacher in writing.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or their parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

- A formal complaint should be submitted in writing, addressed for the attention of the head teacher
- Complaints should be sent to the school address: Ancora House School, Countess of Chester Health Park, Liverpool Road, Chester, CH2 1BQ
- Complaints will be retained on file and acknowledged in writing within 10 days of receipt

How a formal complaint is investigated

- The head teacher and SLT will further investigate the issues that have been raised.
- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 2 working weeks

Appeals

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

- Any appeal must be submitted in writing detailing the nature of their complaint
- Any complaint that is received will be acknowledged in writing within 10 days of receipt

- The appeal will be referred to Chair of Governors for consideration
- The head teacher will inform the appellant of the outcome in due course

C

FOR CENTRE USE ONLY

Complaints and Appeals form	
Complaints and Appeals form	Date received
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your complaint/app	
Complaint/appeal against the centre's delivery ofComplaint/appeal against the centre's administra	•
Name of complainant/appellant	
Candidate name (if different to complainant/appellant)	
Please state the grounds for your complaint/appeal below:	
If your grounds are lengthy, please write as bullet points; please names etc. and provide any evidence you may have to support w	
Your appeal should identify the centre's failure to follow procedul teaching and learning which have impacted the candidate	res as set out in the relevant policy, and/or issues in

If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed

Complaints log

On receipt, all complaints are assigned a reference number and logged. Outcome and outcome date is also recorded

Ref No.	Date received	Complainant name	Outcome	Outcome date